historical and case study information. I also was a part of the UC system during the turbulent time described by the author, chairing the Next Generation Technical Services (NGTS) Phase 2 Enterprise-Level Collections Management Services task force (see http://libraries.universityofcalifornia.edu/content/ngts-phase-2-march-december-2010 for the executive summary, final report, and appendix of this group). The UC libraries are known for their collaborative and well-organized inter-institutional teams; and, while numerous changes and reforms were initiated due to the economic and financial realities after 2008, many others that were more transformative and indeed inspirational were not implemented due to internal political and administrative issues. That said, it is interesting that both UC Davis and UH Manoa were included as case studies, given some of the personnel and human resources challenges currently ingrained in the libraries at those universities, based on my own work experience with these two libraries. Their inclusion is understandable (based on the author’s life experience and current place of employment), so one may want to focus on the author’s “neither unusual nor exceptional, but representative” statement in the Preface regarding these two academic libraries in particular. The book, as it stands, can be viewed as a single person’s reflection on where librarianship and libraries have been and are going, similar to Our Enduring Values: Librarianship in the 21st Century (ALA Editions, 2000) by Michael Gorman, and Future Libraries: Dreams, Reality & Madness (ALA Editions, 1995) by Walt Crawford and Michael Gorman. A little bit of reality, common sense, and insider knowledge need to be mixed in when reading through the case studies; things are often not as innovative or forward-thinking as those involved in them seem to think, nor can those who are on the outside of those campuses have an understanding of current internal personalities or politics that are holding back change and reorganization. —Bradford Lee Eden, Valparaiso University


Librarians are important contributors to the field of library science. Professional publications written by professionals in the field document and advance LIS theory and practice. A handbook to assist with the professional endeavor of writing and publishing in one’s field is a valuable tool. The Handbook of Academic Writing for Librarians aims to be an informative resource for LIS professionals and students. It is designed for beginning and experienced authors. The author has identified key elements of successful professional and scholarly writing and publication: developing an idea; writing competencies; making a professional contribution to the field; writing professionally; engaging and committing good academic writing; finding publishing opportunities; and communicating scholarship in the current landscape of the digital age. The handbook’s ideas and arguments are rational, understandable, and supported with concrete examples.

The handbook’s content is based on the author’s knowledge and experiences as a reviewer and editor. Additionally, the author conducted interviews with a wide range of published authors in the field of library science. Integrating his own professional writing background as well as the perspectives and experiences of the interviewees, the author developed a framework to guide the reader through the writing process. The author’s intent is to ensure that prospective and current writers have the knowledge and competencies to produce scholarly academic writing.

Five chapters with supporting examples take the reader from introducing and defining good academic writing to writing a scholarly book proposal. The chapters scaffold the learning process of academic writing in a series of building block chapters or steps for the reader. Each chapter also serves as a quick reference for or standalone
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section on a topic. The author highlights key elements in the writing process. For example, the author notes that academic writing requires clarity. Hollister reminds writers of the need to be cognizant about the importance of one’s voice or presence in writing. Academic writing does not require an author to assume a neutral position to be considered scholarly. The handbook acknowledges, examines, and positions the importance of style manuals, reviewer guidelines, grammar, citation management, and revision in the writing process.

All the chapters deconstruct the chapter topic into specific elements. For example, chapter 3 takes the reader through the structure and components of an academic paper. The chapter logically and purposefully guides the reader from the definition of what constitutes an academic paper to abstract to methodology to conclusion, as well as the value of appendices. The author offers a thorough and clear explanation of a scholarly paper’s foundational components. Additionally, examples serve to give deeper explanation and understanding of the sections of a scholarly paper. The handbook recognizes the importance of journal selection for a writer. Often, writers rely on submission to journals they are familiar with and thus may inadvertently exclude a journal that is better suited for their research. In chapter 4, the author discusses the importance of making an informed choice about journal selection for one’s writing. As the author notes, librarians as researchers are skilled at finding and assessing appropriate publication titles. However, in the digital age of open access, multidisciplinary journals, and electronic journals, the process of journal selection for publication purposes requires more than research and evaluation skills. Librarians who write must approach journal title selection with greater flexibility and knowledge in choosing an appropriate and relevant title. The process of journal selection is one of complexity that requires due diligence on the part of the author.

The handbook is an essential resource for anyone considering or engaged in writing in the field of library science. It provides a comprehensive overview of the process including essential tools, the craft of academic writing, and the importance of good writing. This is a resource to be kept at hand, one that will serve the writer throughout one’s literary career. The handbook will remind librarians already published either by choice or by career requirements to consider the essential and higher-order implications of scholarly writing. Just as important, the handbook can guide a student or beginning writer through the process as a logical and progressive journey. The handbook may encourage librarians who consider writing and publishing as tangential to their professional lives to engage with scholarly communication, thus increasing the diversity of LIS voices in the field.—Deborah Garson, Harvard University


Andrew Abbott is a prolific sociologist, the Gustavus F. and Ann M. Swift Distinguished Service Professor at the University of Chicago, and editor of the American Journal of Sociology. He understands library research as most academic librarians do not (never disrespectful of librarians—his mother was one). After all, how can someone be competent in something they have never done? Hence, the need for this book.

Don’t be put off by the author’s sometimes arrogant (he calls it “cranky”) tone; this is a book with which academic/research librarians should be familiar.

Abbott begins with what he describes as an ethnography of an academic library. He apparently does not know what the term “ethnography” means since this is definitely not one but rather autobiography. (Note that all his publications are library based; none are based on field work.) That being said, it is a useful autobiography. In it, Ab-
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