databases— in 1962, a company called Photon found a way to hook its photo unit onto a mainframe and uploaded the National Library of Medicine's Index Medicus. Phototypesetting sounded the death knell for the unionized press operators.

In the last section, “Life After Type,” Sonn discusses the development of the computer and its impact on the printed word. In explaining how computer networks are modeled on the human brain, he makes a complex topic fascinating and easy to understand. He observes that computers have brought us full circle; religious leaders point out today, just as in Martin Luther’s time, that modern methods of communication are ruining society. Also, computers and desktop printers have freed us to produce our own work like the cave painters, with no need to send it to a print shop. Just as in the invention of the printing press, though, the real revolution that computers have wrought is in our thinking—Sonn observes that “once again, our place in the cosmos shifted when the way we retrieved and used information changed.”

In outlining the history of printing, Sonn touches on a great variety of subjects, humanizing previously one-dimensional historical figures and events. While individual stories are interesting and the tone refreshingly lighthearted and at times hilarious, the sheer amount of detail is often overwhelming. The result is a book that can be a little tedious if read as a narrative, but it would be extremely useful as a research tool. Sonn provides extensive footnotes and a detailed and accurate index and bibliography. —Henrietta Thornton-Verma, DeVry Institute of Technology


Despite the rather pessimistic tone of its title, the contributors to this collection of essays are, for the most part, true believers in the mission of libraries and the work of librarians. What they do insist upon is the need for libraries to transform (or perhaps continue to transform) themselves as the world and our users change. Two Canadian librarians edit the collection: Susan Cleyle is associate university librarian at Memorial University of Newfoundland; Louise M. McGillis serves at Sir Wilfred Grenfell College, also in Newfoundland. But aside from the preface on the future of Libraries and Archives of Canada by former national librarian Roch Carrier, the questions and concerns raised by the book’s Canadian and U.S. contributors seem to differ very little. The real point here is not that Canadian librarians offer a different point of view, but that we Americans need to listen and learn from our professional counterparts elsewhere in North America and the world. The pointed title of this volume is a gentle reminder that we Americans, who regard ourselves as world leaders in everything (even librarianship!), perhaps need to walk along with others more and lead a little less.

The introductory essay to the volume is entitled “Change the Lightbulb or Flip the Switch—Our Choice!” Cleyle and McGillis muse about why, when librarians claim to be so confident of our future, we keep writing about and agonizing over our place in the information age. They note that the “come to us” ethic of service will no longer work; instead, we need a “get the service to you” model. Each essay in the book offers a different perspective on how to get services to users—all those nonusers and lapsed users who have fled to the Internet, and even our fellow professionals and paraprofessionals. Some are more practical, “how-to” articles; others are thoughtful pieces on the roles of libraries and how we as librarians can move forward and yet also “preserve what is good.”

The collection is divided into five parts, each focused on a different theme or concern. The first part, “The Tug of War between Libraries and the Web: Who Will End Up in the Dirt?,” is the most practical, offering suggestions on how
libraries can manage to keep up with our technologically savvy users. Contributor Irene McDermott compares the Internet to microwave ovens: while microwaves warm food up very well, and they’re great for a meal at the end of a long day, no one thinks the microwave means the era of real cooking is at an end. The microwave’s appeal lies in its speed and convenience, and it satisfies most of us at least some of the time. McDermott’s essay reviews some technologies (cell phones, wireless) that aren’t quite new anymore, but her main thrust is that we need to meld new technologies into our services if we are to remain relevant. Similarly, Amanda Etches-Johnson’s contribution explores the uses of blogs to encourage communication with users. Stephen Good takes a more contrarian view in his essay “Library Prophets and Library Pornographers.” He cautions against judging all library services by their “information technology quotient.” The library pornographer “wants to seduce you with technological possibilities” and “has no regard for people.” Good reminds us about the “poor, stupid, old, crazy, illiterate, ugly, uneducated, lonely, desperate, homeless” who visit our libraries. We might get so hypnotized by technology that we forget about the people we are meant to serve, and that books, quiet spaces, and story time are still things that libraries need to offer.

Part 2 focuses on “library as place.” Two case studies provide examples of libraries transforming their physical spaces into attractive and meaningful places. Ruth E. Kifer reviews the creation of the Johnson Center Library at George Mason University, including its physical setup, its services, and its record of success in the eight years since it opened. Kifer cautions, though, that the renovation of physical spaces is not sufficient; the real emphasis needs to be on “library as people.” In his essay tracing the history of Vancouver’s first Carnegie Library, Paul Whitney reminds us of the traditional notion of library as civic space. Vancouver’s Carnegie, built in 1902, was meant to serve the city’s middle class and “aspiring poor.” Its classical design was meant to inspire, to symbolize the triumph of good over evil, and to remind visitors of the value of books and reading. As Vancouver’s population and business center shifted, though, the library faced demolition in the late 1960s. An effort to save the building was successful, and now the library serves as a different kind of civic space. Open 365 days a year, it offers not only reading material but classes, counseling from onsite social workers, a kitchen, and a safe haven from the streets. The dignity of the building itself, says Whitney, ensured its survival and safeguards the dignity of the people who visit it. Patricia Jobb’s essay on how to tackle funding cuts in school libraries suggests that partnering with public libraries and sharing job responsibilities may be the best solution.

Public libraries, which already routinely serve schoolchildren, could serve as the home base for school librarians.

In Part 3, authors Roy Tennant, John Teskey, and Melody Burton discuss the “consequences of pushing to the desktop.” Tennant argues that we as librarians have not owned up to our responsibility for making information easily accessible and usable. During the creation of Yahoo and Google, librarians were just “bystanders.” Somewhat extravagantly, I think, Tennant claims that the Web has provided us with “the very means of our salvation”—the way to woo back lost users. We must better integrate technology into libraries, “complicate things on the back ends of our systems in order to simplify the front ends.” Teskey is a bit more optimistic: he suggests that libraries have done such a good job of embedding themselves into the work of readers and researchers that people don’t know whom to thank! Our error, he writes, is not to brand our services more.

Burton’s article offers views about the future of libraries that range from the utopian to the dystopian. She presents some assumptions about what will work (or work better) in the future: linking tech-
The existence of a consumer mentality in society needs to drive library services; this is Ranganathan adapted to the 21st century, claims Burton. (Though she undoubtedly means to be provocative, this reader is a bit troubled by the notion that “mass consumption,” as Burton puts it, should drive our values and services).

Burton also explores some possible futures for academic libraries, from one in which there is “free, unrestricted access to everything,” to “a ‘cut and paste’ world where information is recycled and is reproduced with diminishing luster.” While Burton closes with an emphasis on the need for libraries to change to meet users’ expanded expectations, she makes clear that we are perfectly positioned to help students manage new technologies. “Printing or e-mailing journal articles is not a substitute for reading and learning,” and librarians can be the instruments for facilitating that learning.
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