ceed. Crosbie’s writing is that of an architect writing for architects, a bit gushy and prone to hyperbole at times, but still quite readable. Hickey is less concerned with aesthetics and often the more critical, but both authors treat the projects with respect and understanding. They carefully balance results against the contending political forces, site limitations, and funding restrictions that each project faced. It is difficult to get a beautiful and functional building when every entity at an institution believes that it has a stake in what happens and loudly says so. Readers can learn much about the political agendas of colleges and universities in the 1990s from studying this book.

Minor criticisms of the book include photographs of certain scenes in the introduction that reappear again in the chapters and the large numbers of formal shots that show no users. The major criticism of the buildings featured in the book is that they show little or no evidence that anyone understood basic concepts of library design, economics, or aesthetics.

Although the strictly “modular” library of Angus Snead Macdonald and Ralph Ellsworth may be part of the past, its practical and economical underpinnings can be ignored only at one’s peril. None of these seven buildings or additions appears to contain any modular concepts. Specialized rooms, odd spaces tacked on, inflexible and twisted walls (often painted in garish primary colors), and massive lobbies and atriums all point toward achieving impressive visions rather than practical results. Widely separated service points, multiple entrances, and scattered offices demand large numbers of support personnel. Huge expanses of fenestration produce lovely exterior views but must cost a fortune in climate control. Open balconies and aerial walkways promise miserable noise levels in the show-off areas. What is sad about many of these designs, particularly in those cases where the institution added to an existing structure, is that the library needed to expand in order to gain storage and seating space, yet what it got was show space instead. In some cases, the libraries have less “room” after the projects were finished than they did before the projects started. Can this firm ever design a library without round windows? Can it ever use a wood other than maple? Why do many of the ceilings look like the minimalist designs of the 1970s? And what is so wrong about L-shaped libraries that they cannot be made to work with proper forethought?

The buildings featured here offer some useful ideas, some interesting concepts, and some shallow thinking as administrators, architects, and library staff rushed to embrace nineties electronic information nirvana. This book marks the reasoning of a decade during which libraries were going through major changes. As such, it is worth a look and worth reading, but only as a milepost on our way to a better understanding of what academic libraries will really be in the twenty-first century.—Michael W. Loder, Pennsylvania State University, Schuylkill Campus.

**Evolution in Reference and Information Services: The Impact of the Internet.**


Reference service is like dance; it is more fun to do it than to write about it. Let us be grateful, then, for prescient librarians such as Su Di, assistant professor and head of information literacy at York College (CUNY), who recognize the importance of documenting the metamorphosis of information delivery. Di is also a research librarian at PKF PC, a national accounting and consulting firm.

The book begins with a history of electronic reference from 1930 to 2000 and then diverges into four sections: Teaching and Training, Electronic Services, Evaluation and Analysis, and Information Technology Management.

The twelve contributions by academic librarians tend to be case studies with
practical applications for any type of library. The one anomaly is an Internet health information guide for consumers. I read the book on the long way back to Philadelphia from the Special Libraries Association conference in Los Angeles and wondered, if these chapters were conference sessions, which ones would I attend? They are all worthwhile, but I found some more compelling than others.

The essays in Teaching and Training tend to reflect our love affair with “information literacy.” The authors acknowledge the seduction of students by the fool’s paradise of search engines but do not entertain the possibility that information literacy may be a fool’s errand. However, the research findings described in this section do hold numerous useful insights about what does and does not work in coaching students.

“E-mail Reference: Who, When, Where and What Is Asked” is an overview of the current state of e-mail reference in general and, specifically, at Colorado academic and public libraries. The bulk of the report is a close examination of two years of observation at Colorado State University. Although no earthshaking conclusions about the value of e-mail reference are presented, the detailed usage statistics provide an interesting tool for comparison.

“Internet Engineering Reference: An Academic Strategy” chronicles a University of Texas library’s active confrontation with the widening gap between the reference desk and library users. The boom in new classroom and residence facilities presents challenges with which many readers will readily identify. In their analysis of reference questions, the staff uncovered interesting trends: Although “I need information on . . .” still predominates, the biggest change is represented by questions concerning access and computer-related problems. What aren’t they asking? They seldom ask about which index to use, a sad indication of their misguided reliance on the public Internet. The marketing and public relations aspect of reference service is also addressed. “We have changed our thinking—the Web makes the library remote from its users, not the other way around.”

I thought I was a keen evaluator of Web content, but “Historical Fabrications on the Internet” shocked me out of complacency. Frightening examples of hate literature and biased reports skillfully disguised as historical fact prove that malicious misinformation is more pervasive than many of us could have imagined.

“The Impact of ‘Scholar’s Workstations’ in an Undergraduate Library,” in the IT management section is an excellent model of successful project management. The systematic treatment does not omit the distressing detours taken and is a useful lessons-learned account for any type of library planning a major technological change.

Almost a century ago, Thomas Edison predicted that the motion picture would replace the book. Similar forecasts ascribe the future demise of printed matter to the Internet. Today, we teeter on the brink of the Semantic Web, which will, according to Tim Berners-Lee who invented the World Wide Web in 1989, relegate the Web to antiquity by 2005 (http://www.w3.org/People/Berners-Lee). Evolution in Reference and Information Services is refreshingly void of theoretical assumptions, focusing, instead, on down-to-earth practical observances by innovative and astute reference librarians. The articles are well referenced, and the index is faultless. This is an inspiring and educational work for information students and veteran librarians alike.—Terese Mulkern Terry, University of Pennsylvania.

Intellectual Freedom Manual, 6th ed. Comp. the Office for Intellectual Freedom. Chicago: ALA, 2002. 434p. $45, alk. paper; ALA members, $40.50 (ISBN 0838935192). LC 2001-26684. “A must-have guide,” reads a rear cover blurb. And that is true. This latest, updated compendium of official ALA policies, guidelines, and interpretations, together with a “history” of how each was created and some fifteen essays by intellectual freedom (IF) authorities such as