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Library services and products have associated costs, including direct monetary costs and indirect costs such as time. The decision to acquire or provide a particular product or service should involve an examination of its costs and benefits to library customers. One technique for analyzing cost-effectiveness is to perform a cost-benefit analysis (CBA). CBA involves analyzing the benefits, or potential benefits, of offering a product or service and comparing them to the costs of offering that product or service. This article describes a study in which CBA was used to examine the cost-effectiveness of an electronic database. Librarians can use the results of CBA studies to justify budgets and acquisitions and to provide insight into the true costs of providing library services.

Libraries make tremendous investments in the products and services they offer, especially in collections and electronic resources. Over the past decade, there has been a substantial increase in the reliance on electronic resources, including online public access computer systems (OPACs), CD-ROM databases, online services, document delivery systems, and the Internet. Often the decision to acquire these types of services is made without completely understanding the actual costs involved and without knowing whether the new resources are economically better choices than more traditional resources (i.e., print). Furthermore, library budgets are often stagnant or shrinking, and librarians frequently are asked to justify these types of acquisitions and to prove their value. Librarians can use cost-benefit analysis for these purposes.

What Is a Cost-Benefit Analysis?

Cost-benefit analysis (CBA) has been used for years in business and industry as a way to measure productivity. Thus, most descriptions of CBA have this focus. One such definition is that CBA is the “methodology in which all potential gains and losses from a proposal are identified, converted into monetary units, and compared on the basis of decision rules to determine if the proposal is desirable.” This definition is strictly quantitative. More generally, CBA also can be defined as a measure that helps determine how the benefits of a product or service compare to its costs.

There are two general types of CBA studies. First, CBA can be performed before undertaking a project and involves estimating costs and benefits. Second, CBA can be performed after a purchase or project and involves measuring past costs and benefits.
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Businesses typically use one of three common CBA models: return on investment (ROI), present value analysis, or payback period. ROI models determine the amount of profit, or return, a product or service provides and compares this figure to its cost. Present value analysis models compare the cost of the product or service to its future estimated annual rate of return. Payback period models look at how long it will take before the profit or return pays for the cost of the product or service, and compares this time period to the estimated life of the product or service.

Asking users directly is usually not reliable because they typically do not know the value of the information or are biased toward overvaluing their own information.

There are several problems with applying any of these models in libraries. First, most libraries are not-for-profit organizations. All three of the CBA models rely on the use of the return or profit the product or service generates. Second, the benefits that arise from products or services in libraries are usually not quantifiable. How can “value” of a piece of information be measured? How is it possible to put a figure on such intangible benefits as user satisfaction or faster delivery of information? Moreover, measuring benefits is a problem for several other reasons. Asking users directly is usually not reliable because they typically do not know the value of the information or are biased toward overvaluing their own information. Accurately projecting benefits over a long time period also is difficult, if not impossible.

Not only are the benefits difficult to measure, but the costs also are difficult to calculate accurately. Although direct costs (subscription costs, equipment costs, etc.) are typically easy to measure, indirect costs are much harder to ascertain. Indirect costs include items such as staff time in assisting users, training and instruction time and materials, or troubleshooting and problem correction. In addition, the concepts of fixed, variable, and marginal costs need to be considered. Fixed costs are costs that do not change regardless of level of service or number of customers. An example is the cost of keeping a building open and running. Variable costs are costs that increase with each level of output. For example, every time another page is photocopied, the costs for paper and toner increase. Marginal costs are related to variable costs and are the measure of each additional unit of output. Finally, there is an inherent bias in looking at costs versus benefits. Whereas costs typically are more immediate and somewhat more accurately known, benefits are much more difficult to measure and typically are spread out over a much longer time period.

Cost-Benefit Analysis in Libraries

What is the solution for performing CBA in a library? A framework for conducting CBA consists of the following components: purpose, method, data collection, analysis of data, results, policy changes, and future studies (see figure 1). The first thing to consider is the reason for conducting the cost-benefit analysis. What is expected to be determined from the
study? Second, and most important, is the method for conducting the study. The method chosen will ultimately determine the type of data collected, their analysis, and the type of results generated. Data collection arises directly from the methodology used. Results are a function of method and data collection. In addition to satisfying the purpose of the study, results potentially can lead to meaningful policy changes and ideas for future study.

CBA requires a study of both costs and benefits, or potential costs and benefits, of a product or service. As mentioned earlier, direct costs are relatively easy to identify. However, indirect costs are just as important to identify. Factors such as time, tangential costs such as paper or ink cartridges (or any other somewhat “hidden” costs), costs for training and materials, or any other factors that add to the cost of providing a service or product are considered indirect costs. An exact figure for indirect costs is extremely difficult to calculate. Estimates of the true costs, both direct and indirect, are necessary to more accurately calculate the total cost of the product or service. Some data, such as salaries and fringe benefits, may be difficult to obtain due to employee confidentiality.

Measuring benefits in a not-for-profit environment can be even more difficult. Basically, there are two schools of thought: attempt to place a dollar figure on the benefits derived, and/or measure benefits as a decrease in costs in other areas. The accuracy of the first method is somewhat questionable, so the second method is usually the primary choice. Most CBAs use a blend of both methods.

Literature Review

Most studies of the costs and benefits of library services have focused on the collections and staffing of libraries. In his review of the cost analysis literature of librarianship, Colin K. Mick divided the studies he found into four types: those that study a function or service, those that look at the organization, those that examine the structure within which the library is located (university, town, or corporation), and those that compare costs across similar types of libraries. However, his review predates the advent of electronic services within libraries.

A. Craig Hawbaker and Cynthia K. Wagner performed a CBA in which they compared the costs of providing full-text access to two full-text business databases, Business ASAP and Business Index, with the costs of owning or subscribing to the periodicals included in these sources. They found that providing access to both indexes increased access from the 242 periodicals to which their library subscribed to 513 periodicals at a cost increase of approximately 15 percent. This trade-off of increased costs versus increased access is an issue that every library must address. Hawbaker and Wagner indicated that other issues, such as savings from increased shelving availability or increased costs from computer hardware and software, also should be studied.

The basic research question was: Are the expenses of a full-text database justified when compared to traditional methods of information delivery?

David Everett analyzed interlibrary loan (ILL) requests in his library for one year to determine whether providing access to periodicals included in a number of full-text databases would be more effective in providing information requested by library patrons. He found that the full-text sources provided access to less than 4 percent of the titles requested. Although this percentage has certainly increased (as the present study shows), the comparison of traditional ILL to full-text sources is an important consideration in the decision to access full-text sources.

In a 1989 article, Paul B. Kantor presented a method for performing functional cost analysis. He emphasized the costs of materials and services that are allocated to a set of library functions which are of direct service to library us-
ers. He then used these functional costs to reconcile the entire library budget for the purpose of planning, management, and budget justification. Thus, he included costs such as salaries, space, over­head, and materials with most attention given to books, yet he stated that a similar methodology could be used for computer resources, audiovisual equipment, and online databases.

In 1993, Marianne Broadbent and Hans Lofgren wrote about applying priority and performance evaluation and CBA to current awareness services in Australian information centers.6 And in a more general article, Wendy Smith discussed the “cost-benefit potential” of fee-based services, including online searching and ILL, in libraries.7

Richard W. Meyer did a cost-benefit comparison of mediated searching, end­user searching, and locally mounted databases at Clemson University.8 He computed a cost-per-hour and cost-per-search figure for each electronic resource used in the library and then compared each figure to a baseline established in the library to determine which resources would be offered.

Marilyn M. Browning and Leslie M. Haas performed a cost analysis and user survey of Business Periodicals Ondisc (BPO).9 They analyzed the costs of BPO, including subscription costs, supplies, and royalty fees (which are no longer included in the price of BPO). They also addressed various methods of paying for the database—through donations or charging patrons for printing, or by cutting costs, for example, through periodical cancellations. A survey showed that 78 percent of BPO users were business majors. Some disadvantages addressed included system downtime, system crashes, and length of time to print articles. Advantages discussed included ease of use, quality of the final product, and convenience.

Adele F. Bane also conducted a user survey and discussed the impact of acquiring BPO in her library.10 Users identified the following items as positive aspects: availability of full-text articles, timely access, ease of use, access to a large number of journals, immediate feedback, and lengthy abstracts. The downsides included system downtime, time limits (imposed by the library), lack of downloading capability, lack of total full-text availability (approximately 50% of the indexed articles are full text), lack of remote access, limited time coverage (full-image coverage begins with 1987 journal issues), and the manual loading of CDs. She also looked at the impact on ILL in her library. In 1994, BPO provided access to approximately 12,000 articles that otherwise would have been acquired through ILL. Bane estimated that this would have cost $360,000 that year, whereas BPO costs approximately $20,000 per year. Other issues she addressed were the potential for periodical cancellations, increased expectations of users (especially the reluctance to return to print indexes), and additional labor in training and using electronic resources.

**Methodology**

To better understand the costs and benefits of full-text resources, the authors undertook a study funded by a grant from the Penn State Harrisburg Faculty Research Council to see how the addition of the BPO system had affected requests for periodical articles through ILL. The basic research question was: Are the expenses of a full-text database justified when compared to traditional methods of information delivery?

In 1994, the Heindel Library acquired the full-image ABI/Inform database BPO from UMI. The BPO database consists of the digitally scanned images of articles from more than 400 business journals and magazines on a series of CD-ROMs. The library hoped to achieve two objectives through this acquisition: to increase access to business journals, and to reduce ILL traffic. The acquisition of BPO increased the library’s access to full-text business journals from approximately 210 (to which it subscribed to the print versions) to 425.
As part of the project, the library decided to examine trends in its interlibrary loans and to analyze requests for 1993 (the full year prior to BPO) and 1995 (the full year after BPO). Because BPO was brought in midyear, the library decided not to include 1994 for fear that it would complicate and distort the findings. Using an estimated cost for each ILL transaction, the library wanted to calculate its ILL savings (if any) and compare the benefits to the costs associated with subscribing to BPO. Access to the ABI/Inform database also was a factor. The library offered ABI/Inform on a single workstation until August 1993, at which time it became available on its OPAC. By 1995, ABI/Inform was available through the library’s CD-ROM network, on the OPAC, and through BPO.

Interlibrary loan is a major public service point in the Heindel Library of Penn State Harrisburg and is used heavily, especially by the school’s graduate students. Over time, the number of requests for materials through ILL had been growing steadily, as shown in figure 2. Total ILL transactions for each year from 1986 through 1996 were gathered from statistical information kept in the library. Thus, the total number of ILL transactions was easy to gather and analyze. These figures were used to provide an overall picture of the library’s ILL activity, both before and after the introduction of electronic full-text databases. Next, every ILL request for periodical articles from 1993 and 1995 was analyzed individually to determine whether the publication was indexed on the ABI/Inform database for that particular year. ABI/Inform indexed more than 800 journals and magazines in 1993 and more than a thousand titles in 1995. The total number of interlibrary loans for materials indexed in ABI/Inform was gathered and compared to the total number of ILL requests for the institution for each year in the study. Additional cost data gathered consisted of ILL costs, subscription costs to ABI/Inform.
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FIGURE 3
ILL Requests for Materials Indexed in ABI/Inform

- 1993: 922 (19.4% of article requests)  
  4,764 total article requests
- 1995: 464 (11.8% of article requests)  
  3,946 total article requests

form, costs of copyright compliance, and costs of subscribing to the journals from which interlibrary loans were obtained.

Results
In 1993, the Heindel library made 4,764 ILL requests, of which 922 (19.4%) were from titles indexed in ABI/INFORM. In 1995, the library made 3,946 ILL requests, of which 464 (11.8%) were titles from ABI/INFORM (see figure 3). Thus, the data show that acquiring BPO cut the library’s interlibrary loans for ABI/INFORM titles by about 50 percent.

A 1993 report from the Association of Research Libraries/Research Libraries Group estimated that the average transaction cost for an ILL was $18.62. Using this figure as a standard measure, in 1993, the cost to acquire articles indexed in ABI/Inform through ILL was $17,168. In 1995, this figure declined to $8,640, a saving of $8,528.

The library also paid less for copyright compliance for ABI/INFORM titles. In 1993, copyright payments were $847.45 for 135 articles compared to $285.65 for 33 articles in 1995, a saving of $563. ILL costs and copyright saving constituted a total ILL saving of $9,091 (see figure 4).

The cost of the journals acquired through BPO can be considered a potential cost saving; that is, the cost of subscribing to the paper copies versus the cost of accessing the articles through BPO for which ILL requests had been made. In 1993, the cost to subscribe to the titles from which ILLs were requested was $69,414.35. In 1995, this cost increased to $75,726.37, although fewer articles were requested. This result probably can be attributed to the increased access to a variety of new journals because the coverage of BPO and ABI/INFORM increased greatly over this period. Thus, students were finding more articles from journals that were not included in BPO in full text and to which the library did not subscribe.

The major cost increase the library had to absorb initially was the actual subscription cost. In 1993, the library subscribed to the networked CD-ROM version of ABI/INFORM at a cost of $5,250. In 1995, the total cost for BPO (which also included the networked CD-ROM version of ABI/INFORM) was $15,950, or a net increase of $10,700. The cost of BPO over the library’s three-year contract also included a computer and a laser printer, which potentially lowered the overall cost increase because the computer could be used for other functions.

Discussion
There are several ways to look at the data for total costs and benefits. First, the library can look strictly at the impact on ILL and ignore the potential journal costs. Because it was highly unlikely that the library would have subscribed to the additional 200+ journals in paper copy, perhaps this is the more accurate measure. Given this, the library saw a cost increase of $10,700 as compared to a benefit of about $9,091. This is a comparison of direct costs versus direct benefits. At first glance, and looking strictly at the numbers, it appears that the library lost more (in terms of dollars) than it gained. However, several other issues must be considered. First, the present value of the computer equipment also must be included.

FIGURE 4
Cost Savings (Library Benefit)

- Copyright: $563 savings
- ILL cost: $8,528 savings
- Total savings: $9,091
The estimate for 1995 was that the computer and the printer were worth at least the $1,700 difference. Even without considering the cost of the equipment, the library more than doubled its access to business-related journal titles (425 versus 210) at a direct cost of only $1,700, about $7.91 per title.

One of the main questions the library now receives is: “Why isn’t everything full text?”

Another way to examine the data from this study is to include the potential costs of the journals for which ILL requests had been made previously. Such an analysis assumes that the library would have had to subscribe to the requested journals to provide the same level of service. When these amounts are entered into the analysis, the total saving for 1995 increases greatly and can be estimated at more than $65,000. If these data were extended to the other years that BPO has been available in the library, it would have paid for itself many times over. However, this type of analysis provides only a measure of potential benefits because most libraries cannot afford to subscribe to all the journals from which articles are requested by ILL.

Implications
This research has several implications. First, expenses often are not reduced when new services are offered which, on the surface, are thought to provide cost reductions; they are merely shifted to a different line of the budget. The subscription to BPO is covered by the materials budget whereas most of the costs of ILL are paid for through the operating budget. Although the library did not save money directly by subscribing to BPO, users may have received many benefits that were not examined by this research. However, new services such as BPO also may increase customer expectations. One of the main questions the library now receives is: “Why isn’t everything full text?” In addition, such systems increase the complexity of the library. Each new system seems to work differently from all the others in the library, leading to confusion for both library customers and librarians, who must cope with myriad different search interfaces and indexing practices.

In addition, several nontangible benefits must be considered. First, the full-text articles for the journals to which the library did not subscribe were accessible immediately (as opposed to having to wait one or two weeks for ILL). In informal surveys, patrons reported great satisfaction with the service. Thus, BPO provides additional benefits that would be difficult to measure in terms of dollars, including a decrease in ILL work for library staff and wait time for articles, as well as more satisfied patrons and more time to devote to ILL requests for other items. As a result of these data, the library concluded that acquiring BPO proved to be a good economic choice.

Conclusions
Cost-benefit analyses, as has been shown through this case study, can support the contention that the choice to offer a product or service is economically sound. In the case of providing BPO, the library hoped merely to break even or at least not spend too much additional money in providing the service. Sometimes the cost of a new product or service may not outweigh its direct benefits. In such cases, librarians must decide whether the nontangible benefits of offering the product or service are worth the potential added costs. As with any new venture, there is some inherent risk that the decision may not meet expectations.

CBA also can be used as a marketing tool, which is an extremely important function. The addition of a new product or service usually involves a significant initial investment of money and time. All librarians have run into the problem of administrators who are reluctant to part with money, especially as budgets shrink and costs grow. CBAs, even those performed by other institutions, are useful in proving to administrators that even though a new product or service may cost
a lot up front, the returns and/or cost savings in other areas can easily outweigh the initial expense. At Penn State Harrisburg, the results of the study are being used to justify the acquisition of other expensive full-text products. Although these findings may be insufficient to persuade some administrators, they do lend credibility to the argument and also serve to show administrators, faculty, and the public that librarians are capable of making appropriate, cost-effective decisions.
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