Letters

To the Editor:

For John M. Budd and Charles Seavey (C&RL, September 1990) to perform a bibliometric analysis of recent library literature by academic librarians is one thing; to infer from this data “a disparity between the rhetoric of the [publishing] requirements and the performance exhibited by librarians at these [academic] institutions” is quite another. Actually, there is a marked disparity between their own research and rhetoric, in part because they misuse a common rhetorical device, synecdoche, by deliberately or inadvertently using the part to (mis)represent the whole.

At Ohio State University Libraries, faculty publications certainly are not confined to journal articles on library science. Are Budd and Seavey unaware that librarians do write books and may even have expertise in areas outside library science? In the past five years, OSU librarians, for example, have published book length research on such topics as Anglo-American relations, American journalism history, single-parent children, the dying child, and public opinion polls, the works of Dov Sadan and Yesha’ayahu Avrech, commedia dell’arte, Ohio archaeology, and a history of a turn-of-the-century Midwestern religious commune, as well as peer-reviewed articles in journals such as the Bulletin of the American Physical Society, Journal of Pharmacy Teaching, American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education, Journal of Veterinary Medical Education, Journalism History, Fontes Artis Musicae, American Journal of Occupational Therapy, Journal of Teacher Education, Papers of the Bibliographic Society of America, and Communication Education.

As regular university faculty, OSU librarians meet faculty responsibilities in the areas of teaching (defined as their primary job duties), service, and research. As current Chair of the Libraries’ Appointment, Promotion and Tenure Committee, I can testify that research leading to publication is a major component of tenure consideration. This does not mean that “anything in print” is accepted as grounds for a favorable decision on tenure and promotion, for the emphasis is on peer-reviewed publications. The demonstrated ability of our library faculty in meeting these requirements indicates that, with strong administrative support, it is possible for librarians to achieve success in research and publication while still providing excellent service and job performance. I doubt that the OSU situation is unique among academic libraries, though Budd and Seavey may well be correct in their conclusion that “academic librarians have a wide range of employment possibilities that do not require publication for continued employment.”

JAMES L. MURPHY
Head, Monograph Cataloging Section, Ohio State University Libraries

To the Editor:

I would like to comment on the methodology used in the study “Characteristics of Journal Authorship by Academic Librarians” by John M. Budd and Charles Seavey (College & Research Libraries 51(5):463–70 (Sept. 1990)).

Many academic libraries include health sciences libraries; in the case of the University of Illinois at Chicago, health sciences librarians made up about one-third of the library
faculty during 1985-86. Academic health sciences librarians publish heavily in the Bulletin of the Medical Library Association, a highly respected refereed journal excluded from the study. Therefore, the data for ranking most productive institutions, including total credits and per capita figures (p.468), are skewed in favor of libraries without health sciences components. The differences could be considerable in some cases.

TRUDY LANDWIRTH
Health Sciences Librarian, University of Illinois at Peoria
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