
Like circulation vendors exhibiting at ALA conferences, studies about automated circulation control systems seem to be proliferating. Markuson’s landmark 1975 analysis in Library Technology Reports was followed by Scholz (1977) and Boss (1979). Now from Knowledge Industry Publications comes this second edition of Automated Library Circulation Control Systems, updating the previous work by Dranov.

Bahr’s study largely follows the format and style of its predecessor. Introductory chapters describe the technologies available, provide an overview of alternatives and systems, and offer guidance in criteria for system selection. Following the introductory materials are descriptions of twelve automated circulation systems and summaries of user comments. Concluding the volume is a list of manufacturers and users. The information is presented in a lively journalistic style, with liberal quotes from both the published literature and from librarians using the systems. Much of the text, however, is derivative from previous studies.

A major problem with the book is that it oversimplifies the complexities of library automation to the point that a less knowledgeable librarian can be misled. For instance, Bahr states that “another reason universities design their own systems is that most have computer equipment and staff already available to them” (p.8). She cites, as examples, the development of the Ohio State University circulation system and its later transfer to SUNY at Albany and the University of Illinois. Surely, there were other more compelling reasons for these institutions to automate than the availability of computer time and staff.

In another instance, the author discusses the loading of a machine-readable data base from another library as one means for bibliographic conversion (p.13). Omitted, however, is the crucial point that even when this method is used, it is still necessary to match every circulating volume against the data base in order to assign item-specific bar code labels.

The chapter describing the operational characteristics of the twelve automated systems appears to be based largely on a cull-
the nature of its intended audience.

The author, communications librarian at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, is overly fond of lists. Much of her material is derived from a 1978 American Film Institute Workshop on Film/TV Documentation and smacks somewhat of handouts for workshop participants. There are lists of publishers, lists of film archives, lists of periodicals, lists of bookstores selling film memorabilia, even lists of monographic series dealing with film. This material certainly has value, but it will date the book rather quickly and might have been better presented as a series of periodical articles.

The collection development portion includes short chapters on different formats: periodicals, nonprint materials, scripts, trade magazines, and so forth. Chapter 6 contains a handy checklist of criteria for evaluating various types of film reference materials plus examples of the application of these criteria to specific titles. An asset of this portion of the book is the author's stress on understanding the somewhat esoteric terminology of film study. She is careful to distinguish, for example, between a shooting script and a continuity script and stresses the unique value of each for cinema collections.

The reference and public services portion seems intended for a beginner in the field. The discussions of interlibrary loan, the importance of networking, and copyright are cursory and excessively general. By contrast, Michael Gorman's chapter on the cataloging and classification of film study material deals at length with such topics as the differences that would result if a script were cataloged under AACR 2 and under the rules promulgated by the Documentation Commission of the Fédération Internationale des Archives du Film (FIAF). His presentation assumes a good deal more background on the part of the reader—an assumption that seems rather at odds with the rest of the book.

Several portions of the book leave the distinct impression that something has been started but not finished. The chapter on library use instruction is a good example. It purports to provide a sample outline for a group presentation on the use of film library collections; yet only the portion dealing with the card catalog is included. The chapter is fine as far as it goes but would have been much more helpful if the rest of the suggested outline had been included. The same comment—expand and amplify—could be made about the sections on interlibrary loan and networking mentioned above.

Film Study Collections certainly has some assets. The author's crisp, no-nonsense style is a pleasure to read. She obviously knows her subject. Her material should prove useful to anyone charged with beginning a film study library. But the book could be made considerably better, both by clarifying the nature of the intended audience and by expanding the superficial portions. Perhaps a revised second edition will remedy these defects.—Cathleen Flanagan, University of Utah, Salt Lake City.


Edmund Lester Pearson (1880–1937)—librarian, editor, free-lance writer—tweaked the library community and the general public for fourteen years through his weekly column in the Boston Evening Transcript (1906–20). Satire, hyperbole, and humor laced his prose assaults on the pomposities and idiosyncracies of an emerging profession. Pearson loved a good tale and concocted many for his readers. Once, he even perpetrated a literary hoax with the publication of The Old Librarian's Almanack in 1909. The story of that deception is superbly reconstructed by Wayne Wiegand, University of Kentucky, in the thirteenth number of the Beta Phi Mu chapbook series. A reprint of the Almanack follows the account of its genesis, reception, and denouement. The "Old Librarian" and his Almanack were first introduced in Pearson's newspaper column of July 24, 1907. Early the next year Pearson's fictitious character was resurrected, and the hoax was born.