and public libraries (a pattern which the report does not openly acknowledge). The survey data base therefore rests upon a highly irregular foundation which includes, for example, responses from 86 percent of the large libraries in the survey universe but less than 6 percent of the school and public libraries in this universe. Another questionable feature of this survey was the limitation of the personal interviews to processing centers and large libraries.

This study offers much to the reader, including a series of thought-provoking comments, such as Comaromi's observation, "in most libraries visited . . . the DDC is now used in a mark and park fashion" (p.59); however, this study is marred by various shortcomings, including not only those mentioned above but also numerous lesser flaws such as the ill-conceived wording of survey question 21 and the erroneous reference to Table 10 on page 30. Despite such shortcomings, this study should not be overlooked by anyone who is vitally concerned with the present state and future prospects of the DDC.—Robert L. Mowery, Humanities Librarian, Illinois Wesleyan University, Bloomington, Illinois.


Naturally, the bulk of any "guide to the literature" must necessarily be devoted to annotated lists of reference resources. In my opinion, however, those guides succeed or fail on the introduction they give to the use of the literature, i.e., the searching process. Woodbury's book makes a refreshingly unique and quite literate stab at providing the library novice with a practical guide to searching the education literature.

She starts with a useful table of categories such as "yearly summaries," "hot news," "government documents," etc., which direct one to the correct type of reference resource, thus effectively indexing the chapters on resources. A detailed outline of the searching process and a checklist of questions asked when negotiating a reference question follow. Some of the tables and figures reflect the excellent information services work done by the Far West Laboratory for Educational Research and Development and other regional labs.

The traditional, although well written, annotations of printed resources are supplemented by a section on nonprint sources, such as agencies and computerized bibliographic searching services.

The index of this book is good as is the technical quality. Its strong point, however, is definitely the innovative approach to explaining searching. Its weak point is the outrageous price, $25.00, which is out of line even considering inflation. All in all, Woodbury's manual is clear and concise and should be an excellent source for those users of educational resources who can afford it.—James Doyle, Learning Media Center, Macomb Co. Community College, Warren, Michigan.


This title is a bit misleading—the book is primarily a treatise on the application of faceted classification principles to humanities subjects, with a brief nod to other approaches to indexing.

The author begins with a general discussion of the value of classification, especially for bibliography, followed by an attempt to define the "humanities." The main part of the work is a detailed discussion of the special features of each humanities subject, the consideration necessary in classifying it, and its treatment in the major schemes now used in libraries. A special classification for the sport of cricket provides a demonstration of the principles elucidated. The author concludes with brief comments on thesaurus construction, book indexing, and the like.

The British approach appears not only in the theory presented, and in such things as the use of cricket as an example and the inclusion of history as a humanity, but also in the denigration of postcoordinate indexing and an almost total disregard for the computer, with the only mechanized system noted in any detail a peekaboo card file.
On balance, this is a useful addition to the literature of classification, which has been heavily weighted toward the hard sciences, and provides the long needed complement to the works on classification in the sciences of B. C. Vickery and social sciences of D. J. Foskett by the same publisher. We still need, however, an approach to the humanities storage and retrieval which recognizes the advances in technology of the postwar period.—James H. Sweetland, Library Services Division, The Boys Town Center for the Study of Youth Development, Omaha, Nebraska.


Immediate access through an interfiled cumulation of the back-of-book indexes of the basic sources in one’s discipline would seem to be a boon for research among the ideas of the masters while also providing the quick reference for an immediate question. In using such a tool, one would assume that historical background as well as fairly current information could be located for important subjects although the newest information, understandably, would still be the purview of journal literature.

In this case the theory has merit but, unfortunately, some basic assumptions about accuracy, editorial work, and data base selection are questionable. The basis for this volume is a group of ninety-six library and information science works of which one (the newest) is a 1972 imprint. The sources have an average publication date of 1966. As might be expected, recent ideas are absent from the work. The one entry found indexed under “Ohio College Library Center,” for example, is located in a 1964 library trustee’s handbook. On the other hand, several descriptions are available for the older Union Library Catalogue of Pennsylvania. One can find the “Congressional Set” or the “Sheep Set” but not the “Serial Set.”

Without a doubt the editorial work and computer programs required to merge ninety-six different lists of index terms represent a tremendous effort. The final product, however, is less than adequate. Entries on data processing are found under that term and under at least seven other terms but with cross references to only five of the seven. Under “ALA” the user is told to “see” and to “see also” the American Library Association (page 17) but under the “American Library Association” (page 24) there is no reference to “ALA” as a possible subject heading.

In some cases the work is misleading. The CumIndex entry “Smith, E.,” for example, yields upon inspection of the cited books, a Mrs. Eleanor Smith, a Eugene R. Smith, and a reference to S. E. Smith. Clearly these do not represent the same person and should not have been grouped together. There are some interesting listings such as the “abandonment of placement service” by ALA but no entry under “placement service” for the association. Are we to believe that ALA does not aid unemployed librarians? There is the intriguing “pitty pat” and even the “Zmpact factor” (actually the impact factor). There are useless headings such as “Do’s and don’ts of book repairing” (look under “Do”) that have been inherited from less than adequate original indexes. Such questionable entries appear in sufficient quantity to become bothersome.

The idea has potential. Because it groups together, in one easy-to-read listing, the topics of several basic texts, the CumIndex might be considered for purchase by library school libraries. For other collections, however, it is not recommended.—Scott Bruntjen, Associate Professor and Head, Reference-Circulation Departments, Library, Shippensburg State College, Shippensburg, Pennsylvania.


The library building boom in the United States has all but ended with only a trickle of projects still being planned or constructed, albeit some of these are big ones—the James Madison Building of the Library of